Message Text

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 01 OTTAWA 03680 132230Z

22

ACTION EB-07

INFO OCT-01 EUR-12 ISO-00 CAB-02 CIAE-00 COME-00 DODE-00

DOTE-00 INR-07 NSAE-00 CIEP-01 FAA-00 L-03 SS-15

NSC-05 H-02 /055 W

----- 094150

R 132114Z SEP 76

FM AMEMBASSY OTTAWA

TO SECSTATE WASHDC 1033

INFO AMEMBASSY BERN

AMEMBASSY BONN

AMEMBASSY BRUSSELS

AMEMBASSY COPENHAGEN

AMEMBASSY LISBON

AMEMBASSY LONDON

AMEMBASSY MADRID

AMEMBASSY OSLO

AMEMBASSY PARIS

AMEMBASSY STOCKHOLM

AMEMBASSY ROME

AMEMBASSY THE HAGUE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE OTTAWA 3680

E.O. 11652: N/A TAGS: EAIR. CA

SUBJ: CIVAIR: IATA NORTH ATLANTIC FARE NEGOTIATIONS

REF: (A) STATE 222007; (B) OTTAWA 3647

1. AIR FARES QUESTIONS IN THE GOC ARE HANDLED BY THE AIR TRANSPORT COMMITTEE OF THE CANADIAN TRANSPORT COMMISSION, WHICH HAS A LIMITED STAFF AND TENDS TO CONCENTRATE ITS RESOURCES ON DOMESTIC QUESTIONS. WHILE ATC APPROVES IATA AGREEMENTS AND DEVOTES SOME ATTENTION TO THE POSITIONS CANADIAN CARRIERS TAKE IN IATA DELIBERATIONS, MUCH MORE INITIATIVE IS LEFT TO CARRIER MANAGEMENT THAN APPEARS LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 02 OTTAWA 03680 132230Z

TO BE THE CASE IN THE U.S. SYSTEM.

- 2. TCO MET SEPTEMBER 13 WITH J.C. SULLIVAN (CHIEF, FARES, RATES AND SERVICES DIVISION) FOR REVIEW OF NORTH ATLANTIC SITUATION. SULLIVAN, HOWEVER, WAS RELUCTANT TO DISCUSS MATTER IN SPECIFIC TERMS AND SUGGESTED IT WOULD BE APPROPRIATE FOR EMBASSY TO COMMUNICATE ITS QUESTIONS IN WRITING SO THAT THEY MIGHT BE GIVEN FULLEST ATTENTION. (QUESTIONS HAD PREVIOUSLY BEEN READ TO SULLIVAN ON SEPTEMBER 9 SO THAT HE WAS FAMILIAR WITH WHAT WE INTENDED TO RAISE. HE INDICATED HIS SUGGESTION CAME FROM HIS SUPERIORS IN ATC.)
- 3. WITH RESPECT TO THE SPECIFIC QUESTIONS IN PARA 4, REF (A), THE FOLLOWING APPEARS OF INTEREST:
- A) FROM SULLIVAN'S REMARKS, TCO TOOK AWAY IMPRESSION THAT SUPER-APEX FARE HAD NOT BEEN EXAMINED IN DETAIL BY ATC ALTHOUGH ITS BROAD OUTLINES WERE KNOWN. THE QUESTION AS TO WHETHER THE FARE WAS COMPENSATORY OR NOT DID NOT APPEAR OF UNDUE CONCERN TO HIM AND HE MENTIONED THAT FREQUENTLY THE ATC APPROVED FARES WHICH WERE NOT COMPENSATORY SO LONG AS THEY WERE MAKING SOME CONTRIBUTION TO OVERHEADS AND DID NOT BECOME THE BASIS UPON WHICH THE CARRIER INTENDED TO DEVELOP ITS BUSINESS OVER THE LONG TERM.
- B) WITH RESPECT TO THE CURRENT AIR CANADA DEFICIT, SULLIVAN MAINTAINED THAT THE AMOUNT WAS RELATIVELY LOW IN COMPARISON WITH TOTAL CARRIER REVENUES AND THAT THERE WAS NO PROBLEM OF THE CARRIER FINANCING IT INTERNALLY FROM CASH FLOW FOR AT LEAST THE TIME BEING. (COMMENT: RESTRUCTURING THE FINANCIAL AND ORGANIZATIONAL ASPECTS OF AIR CANADA IS A CURRENT OBJECTIVE OF THE GOC AND LEGISLATION TO THIS EFFECT IS EXPECTED IN THE NEXT SESSION OF PARLIAMENT).
- C) SULLIVAN DESCRIBED THE PURPOSE OF THE SUPER-APEX AS AN ATTEMPT BY AIR CANADA TO ADAPT ITSELF TO THE PECULIARITIES OF THE CANADA-EUROPE MARKET WHICH, HE MAINTAINED, STUDIES HAVE SHOWN TO HAVE HIGHER PERCENTAGE OF VFR (VISITING FRIENDS AND RELATIVES) TRAFFIC THAN DOES LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

PAGE 03 OTTAWA 03680 132230Z

THE U.S. MARKET. THIS TYPE OF TRAFFIC LENDS ITSELF PARTICULARLY WELL TO THE ABC (ADVANCED BOOKING CHARTER) CONCEPT AND SUPER-APEX THUS REPRESENTS AN ATTEMPT BY THE SCHEDULED CARRIERS TO COMPETE IN THE VFR MARKET MORE EFFECTIVELY THAN THE ABC CONCEPT WOULD ALLOW.

D) FINALLY, SULLIVAN SUGGESTED IT WAS DIFFICULT TO DTERMINE WHO SHOULD MOVE FIRST (CHARTERS OR SCHEDULED

CARRIERS) IN INCREASING FARES. BOTH TYPES OF CARRIERS HAVE SIMILAR TYPE COST INCREASES, ESPECILLY LABOR AND FUEL COSTS, AND THUS MIGHT HAVE A COMMON INTEREST IN RECOVERING THEIR EXPENSES

- 4. COMMENT: EMBASSY DOES NOT REGARD THIS CONVERSATION WITH SULLIVAN AS SATISFACTORY. HOWEVER, SO FAR AS WE ARE AWARE THERE IS NO OTHER OFFICIAL IN THE GOC WHO FOLLOWS IATA MATTERS AS MUCH AS SULLIVAN DOES. EXTAFF'S INTEREST IN IATA IS NEGLIGIBLE AND MOT GETS INVOLVED ONLY WHEN A CRISIS DEVELOPS. IF DEPARTMENT WISHES ISSUE PURSUED FURTHER WITH GOC, A WRITTEN QUERY WOULD BE APPROPRIATE. THIS COUNLD BE IN THE FORM OF A LETTER TO ATC OF A DIPLOMATIC NOTE TO EXTAFF. SHOULD WRITTEN APPROACH BE DESIRABLE, DEPARTMENT MAY WISH TO PROVIDE TEXT. REFTEL (A) DOES NOT APPEAR ADEQUATE FOR THIS PURPOSE.
- 5. HOWEVER, SHOULD A SERIOUS SITUATION BE DEVELOPING ON THE NORTH ATLANTIC IN WHICH AIR CANADA'S CONCEPT OF SUPER-APEX IS A SIGNIFICANT ELEMENT, CONSIDERATION SHOULD ALSO BE GIVEN TO REQUESTING CONSULTATIONS WITH GOC IN ADVANCE OF CRISIS. IT MIGHT BE USEFUL FOR USG OFFICIALS TO MEET ACROSS THE TABLE WITH CANADIANS OF A VARIETY OF AGENCIES TO EXPLORE THE BASIC PROBLEM AND ATTEMPT TO INDUCE THE GOC TO PROVIDE DIRECTION TO AIR CANADA'S FURTHER INVOLVEMENT IN IATA. ENDERS

LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

NNN

Message Attributes

Automatic Decaptioning: X Capture Date: 01 JAN 1994 Channel Indicators: n/a

Current Classification: UNCLASSIFIED

Concepts: CIVIL AVIATION, NEGOTIATIONS, AIR FARES, SUPPLEMENTAL AIRLINES

Control Number: n/a Copy: SINGLE Draft Date: 13 SEP 1976 Decaption Date: 01 JAN 1960 Decaption Note: Disposition Action: RELEASED Disposition Action: RELEASED
Disposition Approved on Date:
Disposition Authority: ShawDG
Disposition Case Number: n/a
Disposition Comment: 25 YEAR REVIEW
Disposition Date: 28 MAY 2004
Disposition Event:
Disposition History: n/a
Disposition Reason:
Disposition Remarks:
Document Number: 1976OTTAWA03680

Document Number: 1976OTTAWA03680
Document Source: CORE
Document Unique ID: 00

Drafter: n/a Enclosure: n/a Executive Order: N/A Errors: N/A

Film Number: D760345-1013

From: OTTAWA

Handling Restrictions: n/a

Image Path:

Legacy Key: link1976/newtext/t19760967/aaaacezu.tel Line Count: 142 Locator: TEXT ON-LINE, ON MICROFILM

Office: ACTION EB

Original Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Original Handling Restrictions: n/a
Original Previous Classification: n/a Original Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a

Page Count: 3

Previous Channel Indicators: n/a
Previous Classification: LIMITED OFFICIAL USE

Previous Classification: Limited OFFICIAL USE Previous Handling Restrictions: n/a Reference: 76 STATE 222007, 76 OTTAWA 3647 Review Action: RELEASED, APPROVED Review Authority: ShawDG

Review Comment: n/a Review Content Flags: Review Date: 05 APR 2004

Review Event:

Review Exemptions: n/a
Review History: RELEASED <05 APR 2004 by BoyleJA>; APPROVED <10 AUG 2004 by ShawDG>

Review Markings:

Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MÁY 2006

Review Media Identifier: Review Referrals: n/a Review Release Date: n/a Review Release Event: n/a **Review Transfer Date:**

Review Withdrawn Fields: n/a

Secure: OPEN Status: NATIVE

Subject: CIVAIR: IATA NORTH ATLANTIC FARE NEGOTIATIONS TAGS: EAIR, CA, US
To: STATE

Markings: Margaret P. Grafeld Declassified/Released US Department of State EO Systematic Review 04 MAY 2006